This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

□ BLACK BORDERS
□ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
□ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
□ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
□ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
□ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
□ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
□ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
□ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
□ OTHER: ____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/457,841	12/09/1999	PATRICK H. TOMOSON	450-307US1	8133
24333 7	7590 09/20/2004		EXAMINER	
GATEWAY, INC. ATTN: SCOTT CHARLES RICHARDSON 610 GATEWAY DRIVE MAIL DROP Y-04			DU, THUAN N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2116	
N. SIOUX CITY, SD 57049			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary Application No. Gold-47,841 TOMOSON ET AL.							
Examiner Thuan N. Du		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Thusn N. Du Thusn N. Du Thusn N. Du Thusn N. Du The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the station year and are size, (8) of the Store the remaining date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the station year immunication of the period for reply specified above, the maximum station period will apply and will apply apply and will apply and will apply apply and will apply and will apply apply and will apply apply and will apply apply apply apply and will apply		09/457,841	TOMOSON ET AL.				
Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Learning of time may be evaluate under the provision of 3 CPR 1 136(a). In no overal, however, may a reply be finishly filled after \$X, 89 NoVIPS from the maling date of ins commendation. I No Period for reply is specified some, the maximum study of your period will apply within the statutory infliction to the right of the specified in the statutory infliction to reply and villege 3 CPR 1 136(a). In no overal, however, may a reply be finishly filled after \$X, 89 NoVIPS from the maling date of ins commendation. I Follow the reply is specified some, the maximum study you within the statutory infliction to reply and villege 3 CPR 1 136(a). If the Question the reply is specified some, the maling date of this communication, remain the considered limity. I Possibly within the act or attended print of regly will, by statute, cause the application to become ANAMOCNED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is dosed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-10,16,17 and 20-49 is/are pending in the application. 4) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10,16,17,20,22-27,22-34,36 and 38-49 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-10,16,17,20,22-27,22-34,36 and 38-49 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-10,16,17,20,22-27,22-34,36 and 38-49 is/are rejected. 7) The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 10) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If the period for only specified above, the maximum statutory period vits communication. If the period for only specified above, the maximum statutory period vit apply and will aspect 50°C, MONTHS from the maximum statutory period vit apply and will aspect 50°C, MONTHS from the maximum statutory period vit apply and will aspect 50°C, MONTHS from the maximum statutory period vit apply and will aspect 50°C, MONTHS from the maximum statutory period vit apply and will aspect 50°C, MONTHS from the maximum statutory period vit apply and will aspect 50°C, MONTHS from the communication. If the period for reply is appetited above, the maximum statutory period vit apply and will aspect 50°C, MONTHS from the period vits apply and will aspect 50°C, MONTHS from the period vits apply and will aspect 50°C, MONTHS from the period vits apply and will aspect 50°C, MONTHS from the period vits apply and will aspect 50°C, MONTHS from the period vits apply and will aspect 50°C, MONTHS from the period vits apply and will aspect 50°C, MONTHS from the period vits apply and will aspect 50°C, MONTHS from the period vits apply and will aspect 50°C, MONTHS from the period vits apply and will aspect 50°C, MONTHS from the period vits apply and will aspect 50°C, MONTHS from the period vits apply and will aspect 50°C, MONTHS from the period vits apply and will aspect 50°C, MONTHS from the period vits apply and will aspect 50°C, MONTHS from the period vits apply and will aspect 50°C, MONTHS from the maximum and vits apply and will aspect 50°C, MONTHS from the maximum and vits and							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CPE1 1.36(d). In no overtl, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MCNT195 from the making date of this communication. Failure 15 (2) MCNT195 from the making date of this communication. Failure 15 (2) MCNT195 from the making date of this communication. Failure 15 (2) MCNT195 from the making date of this communication. Failure 15 (2) MCNT195 from the making date of this communication. Failure 15 (2) MCNT195 from the making date of this communication. Failure 15 (2) MCNT195 from the making date of this communication. Failure 15 (2) MCNT195 from the making date of this communication. Failure 15 (2) MCNT195 from the making date of this communication. Failure 15 (2) MCNT195 from the making date of this communication. Failure 15 (2) MCNT195 from the making date of this communication. Failure 15 (2) MCNT195 from the making date of this communication. Failure 15 (2) MCNT195 from the making date of this communication. Failure 15 (2) MCNT195 from the making date of this communication. Failure 16 (2) MCNT195 from the making date of this communication. Failure 16 (2) MCNT195 from the making date of this communication. Failure 16 (2) MCNT195 from the making date of this communication. Failure 17 (2) MCNT195 from the making date of this communication. Failure 17 (2) MCNT195 from the making date of this communication. Failure 17 (2) MCNT195 from the making date of this communication. Failure 18 (2) MCNT195 from the making date of this communication. Failure 19 (2) MCNT195 from the making date of this communication. Failure 19 (2) MCN195 from the making date of this communication. Failure 19 (2) MCN195 from the making date of this communication. Failure 19 (2) MCN195 from the making date of this communication. Failure 19 (2) MCN195 from the making date of this communication. Failure 19 (2) MCN195 from the making date of this communication. Failure 19 (2) MCN195 from the making date of th		ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 June 2004. 2a ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b ☐ This action is FINAL. 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)ⓒ Claim(s) 1-10,16,17 and 20-49 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)ⓒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)ⓒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7)ⓒ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☐ All b☐ Some* c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e) 1) ☑ Notice of Tratspersons Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) or PTO/S800) 4) ☐ Information Disclosure Statenten(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S800)	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing 	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-10.16.17 and 20-49 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-892) 1) Paper No(s)Mail Date	Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10.16.17 and 20-49 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 5] ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6] ☐ Claim(s) 1-10.16.17.20.22-27.29-34.36 and 38-49 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 21.28.35 and 37 is/are objected to. 8] ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9] ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10] ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.55(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftagerson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Discobuser Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB08)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Ju	ıne 2004.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)							
4) Claim(s) 1-10,16,17 and 20-49 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10,16,17,20,22-27,29-34,36 and 38-49 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 21,28,35 and 37 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10,16,17,20,22-27,29-34,36 and 38 7) ☒ Claim(s) 21,28,35 and 37 is/are objected to. 	wn from consideration. 3-49 is/are rejected.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 10 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some columns have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.							
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1. Voluments have been received in Application No Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ntion No ved in this National Stage				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)						
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal	Date · I Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2116

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. It is hereby acknowledged that the following papers have been received and placed of record in the file: Amendment (dated 6/1/04).
- 2. Claims 1-10, 16-17 and 20-49 are presented for examination.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 42 is objected to because of the following informalities: "based on least one" on line 2, "on execution" on line 4. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the

subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 6. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. Regarding claim 7, it is not clear that the recited "a known-good computer configuration" is the same or different from "a known-good computer configuration" recited in claim 1.
- 8. Regarding claim 8, it is not clear that the recited "a known-good configuration" is the same or different from "a known-good configuration" recited in claim 1.

Art Unit: 2116

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. Claims 1-10, 16-17 and 20-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kang (U.S. Patent No. 6,434,696) and Les Seagren "16 Bit Sound Problems," Dec. 22, 1994 [Seagren].
- 10. Regarding claim 1, Kang teaches a method of providing a known-good configuration (known-good boot configuration) for a computer, comprising:

storing a known-good computer configuration [col. 4, lines 4-11; col. 5, lines 1-9]; and restoring the known-good configuration [col. 4, lines 11-14; col. 5, lines 22-24, 44-49] via non-interactive user input (turn on or reset the system) [col. 5, line 27].

Kang discloses that the known-good configuration includes CONFIG.SYS, wherein the "CONFIG.SYS includes ASCII statements describing the size of disk buffer, the number of files that can be opened simultaneously, the names of device drivers needed to control devices attached to the computer system, <u>and so on</u>" (emphasis added) [col. 4, lines 36-43] but does not explicitly detail that the content of CONFIG.SYS file includes hardware configuration parameters or software configuration parameters.

Seagren teaches that CONFIG.SYS comprises configuration which including hardware configuration (IRQ data, DMA data) [p. 1, lines 27-30; p. 2, lines 14-18] and software configuration (.sys file) [p. 2, lines 19-21, 33-35].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to recognize that the CONFIG.SYS file disclosed by Kang would include hardware configuration parameters and software configuration parameters as disclosed by Seagren.

Page 4

Art Unit: 2116

11. Regarding claim 2, Kang teaches that the non-interactive user input is a switch (power button or reset button) [col. 5, line 27].

- 12. Regarding claims 3 and 4, Seagren teaches that CONFIG.SYS comprises configuration which including hardware configuration (IRQ data, DMA data) [p. 1, lines 27-30; p. 2, lines 14-18].
- 13. Regarding claims 5 and 6, Seagren teaches that CONFIG.SYS comprises configuration which including software configuration (.sys file) [p. 2, lines 19-21, 33-35].
- 14. Regarding claim 7, Kang teaches that storing the known-good computer configuration comprises storing an incremental configuration that comprises those changes made to the configuration since a previous stored configuration [col. 4, lines 14-19].
- 15. Regarding claim 8, Kang teaches that the configuration is stored in hard disk drive [abstract].
- 16. Regarding claim 20, Kang teaches that the method comprising:receiving an indication that the non-interactive user input has been actuated [col. 5, line27];

wherein the restoring the known-good configuration is based on said indication [col. 5, lines 27-34].

17. Regarding claim 22, Kang does not explicitly teach the step of prompting a user to store the known-good computer configuration. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that displaying a message for prompting a user to store the configuration would increase the flexibility of the system. The user then has a choice whether or not to save the configuration.

Art Unit: 2116

- 18. Regarding claim 23, Kang teaches the step of making a determination that a current configuration is the known-good computer configuration [col. 5, lines 3-6]. Kang does not explicitly teach the step of notifying a user of a basis for making the determination. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that displaying a message for notifying a user the determination would increase the flexibility of the system.
- 19. Regarding claim 24, Kang teaches that the configuration is stored in hard disk drive [abstract].
- 20. Regarding claim 25, Kang teaches that the non-interactive user input consists of actuating a single switch [col. 5, line 27].
- 21. Regarding claim 26, Kang teaches that the non-interactive user input does not require user entry of information or interfacing with a graphical representation to function [col. 5, lines 44-49].
- 22. Regarding claim 48, Kang teaches that storing a known-good configuration comprises storing the known-good configuration contiguously with any data included on a known-good storage device [col. 5, lines 3-21].
- 23. Regarding claim 49, Kang teaches that the configuration is stored in hard disk drive [abstract] but does not explicitly teach that the configuration is stored in a non-partitioned storage device. However, one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that it would have been obvious to store the configuration in any storage medium including non-partitioned storage device such as floppy, CD or DVD ROM discs such that the configuration can be retrieved when the system is booted from floppy, CD or DVD ROM.

Art Unit: 2116

24. Regarding claim 9, Kang teaches a method of restoring a known-good configuration on a computer, comprising actuating a non-interactive user input [col. 5, line 27] that causes software executing on the computer [col. 5, lines 28-31] to restore a known-good configuration (including CONFIG.SYS) [col. 5, lines 31-33].

Kang discloses that the known-good configuration includes CONFIG.SYS, wherein the "CONFIG.SYS includes ASCII statements describing the size of disk buffer, the number of files that can be opened simultaneously, the names of device drivers needed to control devices attached to the computer system, and so on" (emphasis added) [col. 4, lines 36-43] but does not explicitly detail that the content of CONFIG.SYS file includes hardware configuration parameters or software configuration parameters.

Seagren teaches that CONFIG.SYS comprises configuration which including hardware configuration (IRQ data, DMA data) [p. 1, lines 27-30; p. 2, lines 14-18] and software configuration (.sys file) [p. 2, lines 19-21, 33-35].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to recognize that the CONFIG.SYS file disclosed by Kang would include hardware configuration parameters and software configuration parameters as disclosed by Seagren.

- 25. Regarding claim 10, Kang teaches that the non-interactive user input is a switch (power button or reset button) [col. 5, line 27].
- 26. Regarding claim 34, Kang teaches that the non-interactive user input consists of an actuation of a single switch [col. 5, line 27].

Art Unit: 2116

- 27. Regarding claims 16, 17, 27-31, 32 and 33, Kang and Seagren together teach the claimed method steps. Therefore, Kang and Seagren together teach the machine-readable medium with instructions stored thereon for carrying out the claimed method steps.
- 28. Regarding claim 36, Kang teaches a method of providing an updated known-good configuration for a computer (known-good boot configuration), comprising:

determining an updated combination configuration is a known-good configuration for the computer [col. 4, lines 14-19; col. 5, lines 3-6];

storing the determined known-good updated combination configuration to a known-good data storage device [col. 4, lines 14-19; col. 5, lines 3-6];

receiving a non-interactive user input for restoration of the computer to a known-good combination configuration [col. 5, lines 27, 32-34]; and

restoring the known-good updated combination configuration upon reception of the non-interactive user input [col. 5, lines 27, 32-34].

Kang discloses that the known-good configuration includes CONFIG.SYS, wherein the "CONFIG.SYS includes ASCII statements describing the size of disk buffer, the number of files that can be opened simultaneously, the names of device drivers needed to control devices attached to the computer system, <u>and so on</u>" (emphasis added) [col. 4, lines 36-43] but does not explicitly detail that the content of CONFIG.SYS file includes hardware configuration parameters or software configuration parameters.

Seagren teaches that CONFIG.SYS comprises configuration which including hardware configuration (IRQ data, DMA data) [p. 1, lines 27-30; p. 2, lines 14-18] and software configuration (.sys file) [p. 2, lines 19-21, 33-35].

Application/Control Number: 09/457,841 Page 8

Art Unit: 2116

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to recognize that the CONFIG.SYS file disclosed by Kang would include hardware configuration parameters and software configuration parameters as disclosed by Seagren.

- 29. Regarding claim 38, Kang does not explicitly teach the step of prompting a user to store the determined known-good updated combination configuration. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that displaying a message for prompting a user to store the configuration would increase the flexibility of the system. The user then has a choice whether or not to save the configuration.
- 30. Regarding claim 39, Kang teaches that the non-interactive user input is a switch (power button or reset button) [col. 5, line 27].
- 31. Regarding claim 40, Kang teaches that the non-interactive user input does not require user entry of information or interface with a graphical user interface [col. 5, lines 44-49].
- 32. Regarding claim 41, Kang teaches that the known-good storage device is a hard drive [abstract].
- 33. Regarding claim 42, Official Notice has taken that determining a known good configuration exists is based on at least one of an occurrence of a specific number of boot cycles or upon execution of a specific number of applications executed is well known in the art.

 Therefore, it is a matter of design choice to determine a known good configuration exists is based on an occurrence of a specific number of boot cycles or upon execution of a specific number of applications executed.

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 09/457,841

Art Unit: 2116

- 34. Regarding claim 43, Kang teaches that storing the determined known-good configuration comprises storing an incremental configuration that comprises those changes made to the configuration since a previous known-good configuration [col. 4, lines 14-19].
- 35. Regarding claim 44, Kang teaches that the known-good storage device is a hard drive [abstract]. One of ordinary would have recognized that the hard disk drive could be partitioned into several portions. Therefore, the known-good configuration is stored in a partitioned portion of the hard drive.
- 36. Regarding claim 45, Kang teaches that the known-good configuration is stored contiguously with any non-configuration data on the known-good storage device [col. 5, lines 3-21].
- 37. Regarding claim 46, Seagren teaches that CONFIG.SYS comprises configuration data which including .sys file data [p. 2, lines 19-21, 33-35].
- 38. Regarding claim 47, Seagren teaches that CONFIG.SYS comprises configuration data which including IRQ data, DMA data [p. 1, lines 27-30; p. 2, lines 14-18].

Allowable Subject Matter

39. Claims 21, 28, 35 and 37 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2116

Conclusion

40. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuan N. Du whose telephone number is (703) 308-6292 (after 10/14/04, (571) 272-3673). The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday: 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne H. Browne can be reached on (703) 308-1159 (after 10/14/04, (571) 272-3670).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

The fax number for the organization is (703) 872-9306.

Thuan N. Du

September 14, 2004